

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/694,992	10/29/2003	Toshiaki Ouchi	065905-0300	5302	
		7590 02/13/2007 LARDNER LLP		EXAMINER		
	SUITE 500			MORRISON, THOMAS A		
3000 K STRE WASHINGTO		ET NW ON, DC 20007		ART UNIT	PAPEŘ NUMBER	
	,	•		3653		
					DEL HIEDU LADO	
				MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				02/13/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/694,992	OUCHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Thomas A. Morrison	3653		

	momas 7. Momson	3000				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 23 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, af tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. I no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since			
AMENDMENTS	·	()				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		iected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		•				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>3-5 and 8-13, as per the 10/18/2006 Fi</u>	nal Rejection.					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	Alle de la companya d	- 4° 5 A				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attach	ied.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	// //				
13. Other:						
	•					
	S115==	PATRICK MACKEY				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

The amendment to claim 13 setting forth a singular sheet guide is an example of a new issue requiring further consideration and/or search. As another example, the recited manual sheet supply unit in new claim 14 raises a new issue under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, requiring further consideration.